

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HAROLD WILSON

v.

ERIC TICE, et al.

:  
:  
:  
:  
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 18-2310

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 16th day of April, 2019, upon careful and independent consideration of Petitioner Harold Wilson's pro se Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus, and Respondents' response thereto, and after de novo review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Lynne A. Sitarski, to which no objections have been filed,<sup>1</sup> it is ORDERED:

1. The Report and Recommendation (Document 6) is APPROVED and ADOPTED.
2. Wilson's Petition (Document 1) is DENIED and DISMISSED.
3. Wilson having failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, or that reasonable jurists would disagree with this Court's determination, a certificate of appealability shall not issue. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000).

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez  
Juan R. Sánchez, C.J.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Report and Recommendation was sent to all parties of record on January 7, 2019, together with a Notice from the Clerk of Court advising the parties of their obligation to file any objections within 14 days after service of the Notice. *See* Local R. Civ. P. 72.1 IV(b) ("Any party may object to a magistrate judge's proposed findings, recommendations or report under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) . . . within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof."). To date, no objections have been received by the Court.